

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,938	07/16/2003	Andrew E. Goodsell	222572	8861
23460	7590 09/16/2005		EXAM	INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			KRAVETS, LEONID	
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780		E 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/620,938	GOODSELL, ANDREW E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid Kravets	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2003.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• = -						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/16/2003</u> . S. Patent and Trademark Office	ارة من المارة					

Art Unit: 2189

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. After an exhaustive search of technical literature, examiner was unable to distinguish between two critical features of the invention. The applicant claims accessing information about "virtual memory page evictions for a computer" and "block evictions for an application on the computer". Examiner was unable to ascertain a clear understanding of the difference between the two terms.
- 4. According to the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, a page is defined as a fixed-size block of memory. Techweb's Tech Encyclopedia defines a <u>page</u> as a segment of the program that is transferred into memory, and a <u>block</u> as a group of bits or bytes that is transmitted or processed as a single unit. Therefore, examiner believes applicant's

Art Unit: 2189

"block" could be a "page" that is in the cache. Using Techweb's definition, examiner believes a "page" could simply be a "block" that is in memory

- 5. Thus, it is unclear to the examiner whether the page evictions and block evictions of applicant's invention are the same thing or have different meaning and functions. If they are the same, then how are they applied in the formula provided? While, if they are different, how are they defined in the applicant's invention?
- 6. The application of prior art to the claims is made under the following guidelines: In re Wilson, 424 F.2d 1382, 165 USPQ 494 (CCPA 1970) (if no reasonably definite meaning can be ascribed to certain claim language, the claim is indefinite, not obvious) and In re Steele, 305 F.2d 859,134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962) (it is improper to rely on speculative assumptions regarding the meaning of a claim and then base a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 on these assumptions).
- 7. While the examiner attempted every reasonable interpretation of the claims, it is critical to have a clear understanding of the definition of a "page eviction" and "block eviction". Thus, since the examiner cannot determine the metes and bounds of the claims rejected above, prior art is not applied at this time. Examiner notes that after clarification of the above terminology, prior art will be searched and could be applied if deemed appropriate.

Art Unit: 2189

Conclusion

8. The following is text cited from 37 CFR 1.111(c): In amending in reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2189

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Kravets whose telephone number is

571-272-2706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached at 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LB

Leonid Kravets
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2189

July 28, 2005

BEHZAD JAMES PEIKARI PRIMARY EXAMINER